

concentrated. Transactions that increase the HHI by more than 100 points in concentrated markets presumptively raise antitrust concerns under the Merger Guidelines. See Merger Guidelines § 1.51.

Certificate of Service

I, Allen P. Grunes, hereby certify that, on March, 31, 1998, I caused the foregoing document to be served on defendants CBS Corporation and American Radio Systems Corporation by having a copy mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, to:

Joe Sims,

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, 1450 G St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005, Counsel for CBS Corporation.

Timothy J. O'Rourke,

Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, 1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, Counsel of American Radio Systems Corporation.

Allen P. Grunes,

[FR Doc. 98-9374 Filed 4-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Permits Issued Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation of 1978, Pub. L. 95-541.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nadene G. Kennedy, Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, Rm. 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 5, 1998, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. Permits were issued on April 7, 1998 to the following applicants.

Gerald L. Kooyman Permit No. 99-001

William R. Fraser Permit No. 99-002

Nadene G. Kennedy,

Permit Officer.

[FR Doc. 98-9625 Filed 4-10-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-341]

Detroit Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-43 issued to the Detroit Edison Company (the licensee) for operation of the Fermi 2 plant located in Monroe County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.8.1.1 to change the emergency diesel generator (EDG) allowed outage time (AOT) from 3 to 7 days. This would be a one-time amendment, effective from the date of issuance until September 30, 1998. In order to use the extended AOT, the revised TS will require the licensee to ensure the alternate AC power source (combustion turbine-generator 11-1) is operable and to verify the planned activity is not potentially risk significant in accordance with use of the licensee's On-Line System Maintenance Risk Matrix specified in its Integrated Work Management Guidelines.

The one-time amendment was requested in a submittal dated April 3, 1998. It relies on the technical information and the discussion of no significant hazards consideration (NSHC) associated with an earlier submittal and supplements for a permanent amendment dated November 22, 1995, as supplemented February 19, April 19, May 3, June 12, and December 4, 1996, and January 30 and August 7, 1997. The staff issued a **Federal Register** notice on February 28, 1996 (61 FR 7550), providing the notice of consideration of issuance of the amendment, proposed no significant hazards consideration, and opportunity for a hearing. The proposed one-time amendment does not modify the discussion of NSHC. However, the discussion will be repeated below. The portions of the November 22, 1995, submittal related to changes in EDG surveillance testing and reporting requirements (also discussed in the NSHC) were addressed in amendment no. 107 issued on June 20, 1996.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident. Changing the out-of-service time, surveillance frequency and reporting requirements for emergency diesel generators (EDGs) will not affect the initiation of an accident, since EDGs are not associated with any accident initiation mechanism. The proposed changes will not impact the plant design or method of EDG operation. The increased out-of-service time has been evaluated to have only a small impact on plant risk. Performing the EDG inspections during plant operations will decrease plant risk during plant outages. Deleting the accelerated testing provisions will not affect the consequences of an accident since the implementation of a maintenance and monitoring program for EDGs consistent with the provisions of the maintenance rule will assure EDG performance as discussed in Generic Letter 94-01. Deleting reporting requirements has no impact on consequences of an accident since reporting has no accident effect. Based on the amount of electrical system redundancy, the small increase in plant risk during operations and the decrease in plant risk during outages, this change will not result in a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident.

2. The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different accident from any previously evaluated. The proposed changes do not modify the plant design or method of diesel operation. Therefore, no new accident initiator is introduced, nor is a new type of failure created. For these reasons, no new or different type of accident is created by these changes.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. Since implementation of a maintenance program for the EDGs consistent with the Maintenance Rule will ensure that high EDG performance standards are maintained, the accelerated testing schedule is not needed to maintain the margin of safety. Deleting reporting requirements has no impact on safety or margin of safety. Increasing the allowed out-of-service time for one division of onsite AC power will slightly increase EDG unavailability during plant operation. However, this change does not impact the redundancy of offsite power supplies, the